



Michigan 21st Century Community Learning Centers 2024 Leading Indicators Report - Wayne State University

- Site Range ● Grantee Average ■ State Average

Instructional Context



Management Context




For complete reference, see **Leading Indicators Report Interpretation Guide**.

To ensure representation and confidentiality, insufficient data are not displayed at the site level but included in the calculation of grantee and state averages.

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH											
Number of youth (Summer 2023-Spring 2024)	16313	2191	100	134	123	99	239	308	139	188											
Number of youth with available school outcome information (Summer 2023-Spring 2024)	8489	1211	73	67	100	67	207	128	58	111											
Number of weeks in the summer that your sites met their ADA ^{EZ} *	2	3	2	3	4	2	3	8	0	2											
Number of weeks for the whole year that your sites met their ADA ^{EZ} *	15	25	32	31	30	35	35	40	10	34											

* Goal = at least 30 weeks for the whole year, including at least 3 weeks in the summer

1.1 Enrollment and Continuous Participation



Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH										
1.1 Enrollment and Continuous Participation	74%	52%	15%	47%	52%	17%	20%	80%	55%	51%										
1.1.1 Academically disadvantaged youth are served ^{EZ,O}	82%	45%	30%	40%	56%	52%	41%	41%	66%	53%										
1.1.2 Enrollment policy is in place ^{SC} [100% = Yes / 0% = No]	94%	83%		100%	100%	0%		100%	100%	100%										
1.1.3 Attendance policy is in place ^{SC} [100% = Yes / 0% = No]	47%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%										

1.2 Academic Content



0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodsTowerH										
1.2 Academic Content	67%	63%	85%	65%	79%	73%	55%	69%	63%	63%										
1.2.1 Youth participate in academic enrichment activities ^{EZ}	74%	36%	80%	38%	28%	60%	22%	51%	42%	25%										
1.2.2 Youth participate in schoolwork-focused activities ^{EZ}	69%	69%	100%	61%	93%	84%	54%	64%	58%	71%										
1.2.3 Academically disadvantaged youth participate in schoolwork-focused activities ^{EZ,O}	70%	77%	100%	80%	95%	88%	45%	80%	61%	81%										
1.2.4 The academic growth of the youth is a top priority ST	66%	65%							67%	100%										
1.2.5 Program administrator connects to school-day content ^{SC}	77%	71%	60%	80%	100%	60%	100%	80%	60%	80%										
1.2.6 Staff connect to school-day content ST	48%	58%							89%	19%										

G: Grantee, EZ: EZReports, O: Outcomes, PD: Project Director Surveys, SC: Site Coordinator Surveys, ST: Staff Surveys, Y: Youth Surveys.

Minimum case for reporting. Staff survey = 3, youth survey = 5. Insufficient data will be left blank.

1.3 Enrichment Content



0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodsTowerH										
1.3 Enrichment Content	63%	35%	64%	23%	39%	31%	27%	37%	31%	32%										
1.3.1 Youth participate in arts activities ^{EZ}	55%	17%	80%	12%	23%	15%	17%	12%	5%	10%										
1.3.2 Youth participate in physical activities ^{EZ}	65%	24%	21%	4%	18%	27%	25%	9%	16%	18%										
1.3.3 Youth participate in youth development activities ^{EZ}	80%	65%	100%	42%	57%	65%	38%	84%	78%	63%										
1.3.4 Youth participate in field trip or special event activities ^{EZ}	52%	34%	55%	34%	58%	18%	27%	45%	27%	36%										

G: Grantee, EZ: EZReports, O: Outcomes, PD: Project Director Surveys, SC: Site Coordinator Surveys, ST: Staff Surveys, Y: Youth Surveys. Minimum case for reporting. Staff survey = 3, youth survey = 5. Insufficient data will be left blank.

1.4 Instructional Quality

MI

G

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH											
1.4 Instructional Quality	81%	93%	100%	92%	94%	96%	91%	95%	95%	90%											
1.4.1 Staff report of high-quality sessions ST	79%	88%							100%	97%											
1.4.2 Youth report of program satisfaction ^Y	82%	94%	100%	97%	94%	96%	89%	97%	89%	96%											
1.4.3 Staff report of providing youth with leadership opportunities ST	79%	91%							100%	67%											
1.4.4 Youth report of collaboration experience ^Y	82%	94%	100%	97%	97%	96%	91%	95%	98%	98%											
1.4.5 Staff report of providing youth with meaningful interaction and engagement opportunities ST	84%	94%							90%	75%											

G: Grantee, EZ: EZReports, O: Outcomes, PD: Project Director Surveys, SC: Site Coordinator Surveys, ST: Staff Surveys, Y: Youth Surveys. Minimum case for reporting. Staff survey = 3, youth survey = 5. Insufficient data will be left blank.

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH											
1.4.6 Youth report of having adult support ^Y	88%	95%	100%	97%	94%	94%	91%	99%	97%	96%											
1.4.7 Youth report of developing growth mindsets ^Y	90%	98%	100%	97%	99%	99%	99%	99%	97%	99%											
1.4.8 Youth report of quality peer interaction ^Y	82%	93%	100%	82%	92%	90%	89%	92%	95%	96%											
1.4.9 Staff report of creating opportunities for youth decision-making and governance ST	68%	87%							89%	67%											
1.4.10 Youth report of opportunities for youth voice ^Y	75%	94%	99%	92%	91%	97%	92%	92%	90%	98%											
1.4.11 Youth report of program benefits around social-emotional learning ^Y	83%	93%	100%	82%	95%	99%	88%	91%	95%	96%											

2.1 Stability



0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepacad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH												
2.1 Stability	66%	81%	75%	75%	75%	100%	50%	75%	75%	75%												
2.1.1 Seasoned Project Director ^{EZ *}	64%	100%																				
2.1.2 Seasoned Site Coordinator ^{SC *}	51%	53%	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%												
2.1.3 Staff retention rate is at least 75% ^{PD *}	49%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%												
2.1.4 Program or the host school did not relocate or face challenges ^{SC *}	90%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%												
2.1.5 School administration did not change ^{SC *}	75%	67%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%												

*100% = Yes / 0% = No

2.2 Grantee Management

G

MI

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH												
2.2 Grantee Management	77%	43%																				
2.2.1 Project Director supports Site Coordinators ^{SC}	74%	46%																				
2.2.2 Effective meetings are held by Project Director ^{SC}	82%	50%																				
2.2.3 Site coordinators have high job satisfaction ^{SC}	75%	33%																				

2.3 Site Management



0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH										
2.3 Site Management	70%	72%	52%	58%	53%	55%	53%	54%	77%	71%										
2.3.1 Site Coordinator supports staff ST	83%	84%							100%	60%										
2.3.2 Effective meetings are held by Site Coordinator ST	79%	87%							92%	94%										
2.3.3 Coworker support ST	80%	82%							67%	92%										
2.3.4 Staff have high job satisfaction ST	77%	72%							100%	75%										
2.3.5 Youth report effective program management ^Y	79%	94%	100%	95%	97%	97%	92%	93%	96%	97%										
2.3.6 Youth do not have negative peer experience ^Y	24%	13%	4%	21%	8%	13%	14%	14%	10%	9%										

2.6 School Connection

MIG


0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH												
2.6 School Connection	47%	48%	38%	53%	64%	65%	52%	79%	72%	65%												
2.6.1 Host school invests in the program ^{SC}	66%	63%	50%	100%	50%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%												
2.6.2 Policy for connecting with the school-day administrators is in place ^{SC} [100% = Yes / 0% = No]	50%	53%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%												
2.6.3 Site coordinator meets with school administrator regularly ^{SC} [100% = Yes / 0% = No]	77%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%												
2.6.4 Staff use school records for activity planning ST	18%	21%							58%	19%												
2.6.5 Youth report of program strengthening school connection ^Y	22%	8%	3%	13%	7%	8%	10%	16%	3%	5%												

G: Grantee, EZ: EZReports, O: Outcomes, PD: Project Director Surveys, SC: Site Coordinator Surveys, ST: Staff Surveys, Y: Youth Surveys.


Minimum case for reporting. Staff survey = 3, youth survey = 5. Insufficient data will be left blank.

2.7 Family Communication



Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH											
2.7 Family Communication	45%	28%	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	50%	69%	27%											
2.7.1 Staff frequently communicate with parents ST	43%	22%							39%	4%											
2.7.2 Site Coordinator frequently communicates with parents ^{SC}	47%	33%	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	50%	100%	50%											

2.8 Continuous Improvement and Evaluation



Indicator	MI	G	CenterLineH	ClintondaleH	ConnerCreekAcadEastMIC	EastEnglishVillagePrepAcad	FitzgeraldH	KingH	SouthfieldArtTech	WarrenWoodstTowerH										
2.8 Continuous Improvement and Evaluation	53%	68%		25%	100%		100%		80%	27%										
2.8.1 Staff participating in data-driven continuous quality improvement process with other staff ST	43%	55%							72%	17%										